

## Spotlight on...

# Understanding the why: The integration of trauma-informed care into speech and language therapy practice

Norma O' Leary<sup>a,\*</sup>, Anna Claire Rupert<sup>b,c</sup> and Maria Lotty<sup>d,+</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences, University College Cork, Republic of Ireland*

<sup>b</sup>*The George Hull Centre Institute of Childhood Trauma and Attachment, Toronto, ON, Canada*

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Speech-Language Pathology, The University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada*

<sup>d</sup>*Centre for Adult Continuing Education, University College Cork, Republic of Ireland*

Received 17 September 2022

Accepted 14 May 2023

**Abstract.** This article aims to highlight the need to integrate Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) into the practice of Speech and Language Therapy. TIC is a strength-based framework underpinned by an understanding and responsiveness to the widespread pervasiveness and impact of trauma. The literature on TIC within the field of Speech and Language Therapy is in its infancy but is progressing. In this context, there is an absence of clear guidelines for TIC in the field to support providers and administrators to understand the relevance, underlying theory, and application to practice. In this paper we outline the theoretical underpinnings and application to practice. We argue that the profession requires an ongoing commitment to continuous research to corroborate communication-specific best practices of TIC to support clinicians in translating those findings into practice to best support clients.

**Keywords:** Trauma-informed care, trauma-informed practice, speech and language therapy, communication disorders, adverse childhood experiences

## 1. Introduction to trauma-informed practice

Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) is a strength-based framework underpinned by an understanding and responsiveness to the widespread pervasiveness and impact of trauma (Hopper, Bussuk & Olivet, 2010). Trauma occurs when stress overwhelms a person's capacity to cope (Cook et al., 2003). Prolonged exposure to adversity at an early age can confer significant

risk for adverse physical and mental health outcomes across the lifespan (Berliner & Kolko, 2016). As DeCandia and Guarino (2015) posit, the toll that trauma places on society, necessitates that trauma and its impact are addressed across all systems of care. The overarching aim of TIC is to facilitate opportunities that support resiliency and recovery from exposure to traumatising experiences. TIC aims to foster a sense of control and empowerment through a focus on embodied, relational, and psychological safety, for both service providers and service users (Hopper et al., 2010). TIC also considers the lived and living experiences of trauma within the person's ecological context and thus, all systems of care have a role to play in supporting resiliency and recovery.

\*Corresponding author: Norma O' Leary, BSc (Hon) Speech and Language Therapy, Cert in CPD Trauma-Informed Care, MIASLT, Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences, University College Cork, Brookfield Health Sciences Complex, College Road, Cork, T12 AK54, Ireland. E-mail: Norma.OLeary@umail.ucc.ie.

+ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7809-6458 (M. Lotty).

ery, including the field of Speech and Language Therapy.

For Speech and Language Therapists (SLTs) to be trauma-informed, they must understand the potential impact and relevance of trauma to their clients. TIC aims to promote widespread trauma awareness, knowledge and practices that promote resiliency and wellbeing across a system of care (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2014). This can be applied across three spheres of practice (Lotty, 2021). Firstly, trauma-specific evidence-based treatments (EBT) which require clinical training and supervision to specifically treat trauma (Mersky, Topitzes & Britz, 2019). Secondly, trauma-informed practice by non-trauma specific clinicians, and lastly, trauma-informed organisations that embed the guiding principles of TIC at an organisational level (Bloom, 2010).

This article focuses on trauma-informed practice that can be carried out by clinicians and carers working outside formal trauma-clinical settings, including allied health professionals such as SLTs. Building on SAMHSA (2014) key principles of safety, choice, trust, collaboration and empowerment, Lotty (2021) emphasises the parallel process in TIC for the client and the clinician. This process refers to experiences that run in parallel to one another within a relationship, such as the SLT and their client, that often involve developing an understanding of the lived experience of trauma, ongoing impact, developing ways to effectively cope and minimise or avoid re-traumatisation.

Therefore, trauma-informed practice places emphasis on the centrality of safe and secure relationships as a medium for healing (Lucio & Nelson, 2016). The practice places an impetus on understanding the lived and living experience of trauma and how this drives behaviours that were developed as ways of coping (Bunting et al., 2019). While a SLT is not directly targeting healing from trauma in their clinical goals, by engaging in trauma-informed practice the therapeutic relationship between the clinician and the client allows for an additional context within which healing can occur.

The landmark Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study (Felitti et al., 1998) in the United States, highlights the significant connection between childhood exposure to stress and trauma and adverse outcomes across the lifespan. The study has been replicated and results consistently highlight a significant correlation between childhood stress and trauma exposure, physical health problems, high

risk behaviours, and cognitive and socioemotional difficulties. (Bartlett & Steber, 2019; Bellis, Ashton, Hughes, Ford, Bishop, & Paranjothy, 2016). It is worth noting that intergenerational pathways of trauma may pose substantial risks for ACEs in the next generation, particularly in the absence of positive and protective influences on development, such as a secure child-caregiver relationship (Narayan, Lieberman & Masten, 2021).

Furthermore, longitudinal studies detail an enduring risk of socioemotional and behavioural problems from childhood into early adulthood for clients presenting with speech and language disorders (Whitehouse, Watt, Line & Bishop, 2009). Research reports a high prevalence of communication difficulties in those who present with psychopathology (Speech Pathology Australia, 2018), and a high likelihood of unidentified communication difficulties amongst children and adolescents with social, emotional, and behavioural problems (Hollo, Wehby & Oliver, 2014). These findings, in addition to the association between trauma and language difficulties in young children, point to a bidirectional relationship between communication and mental health difficulties (Irish Association of Speech and Language Therapists, 2021), making trauma clearly relevant to the field of Speech and Language Therapy.

## 2. The role of child-caregiver relationships

In the face of adversity, supportive relationships can provide buffering to the impact of stress, which enables children to withstand or recover from adversity and learn important skills, thus building resilience. In fact, many sources, including Bartlett and Steber (2019) and the Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University (2022), postulate that the strongest factor linked with resilience in childhood is the consistent presence of a sensitive, nurturing, and responsive adult.

Hence, restorative child-caregiver relationships and the cultivation of social support can be considered an aim of TIC (Lotty, Dunn-Galvin & Bantry-White, 2020; McLaughlin, Colich, Rodman & Weissman, 2020). When working within early communication development, SLTs deliver intervention within the context of child-caregiver interactions. Therefore, understanding the importance these interactions and the nature of a client's relationship, particularly when a child has faced adversity, is directly applicable to the work of a SLT (McGlinn, 2020).

146 Attachment processes are a fundamental part of  
147 human development, and a knowledge of attach-  
148 ment theory is necessary for understanding how  
149 trauma in the context of caregiving relationships  
150 can impact development (Rupert & Bartlett, 2022;  
151 Smith, William, Walsh & McCartney, 2016). An  
152 attachment relationship can be conceptualised as a  
153 deep and enduring emotional bond that connects  
154 two individuals across space and time (Ainsworth,  
155 1973; Bowlby, 1969). Infants innately seek close-  
156 ness with a primary caregiver to provide them with  
157 safety and reliable information about the world (Fon-  
158 agy, Gergely & Target, 2007). Secure child-caregiver  
159 attachment is supported by the infant's confidence  
160 in their caregivers' consistent, reliable responses to  
161 their needs. Overtime, through reciprocal safe experi-  
162 ences, a trusting relationship is facilitated that greatly  
163 supports development (Ainsworth, 1973).

164 Insecure attachment styles develop following  
165 inconsistent or unavailable child-caregiver experi-  
166 ences in childhood that represent patterns of  
167 behaviour (Doolan & Byrant, 2021, Tronick, Als,  
168 Adamson, Wise & Brazelton, 1978). There are  
169 three types of insecure attachment that include  
170 avoidant, ambivalent (Ainsworth, 1973) and disor-  
171 ganised (Main & Soloman, 1986). Having an insecure  
172 attachment adversely impacts the child-caregiver  
173 relationship and in turn can negatively impact the  
174 developmental capacities across all areas of child  
175 development including the capacity to self-regulate,  
176 early knowledge of agency, early capabilities for  
177 receptive and expressive language and ability to deal  
178 with stress and trauma (Cook et al., 2003).

179 In the absence of the buffering provided by secure  
180 reliable relationships, research demonstrates the dev-  
181 astating, long-term impact of traumatic stress on the  
182 developing brain and body (DeCandia & Guarino,  
183 2015; McCrory, de Brito & Viding, 2012). Prolonged,  
184 or frequent activation of the stress response, known as  
185 toxic stress, leads to a dysregulation of the neuroen-  
186 docrine immune circuitry (Kuzminskaitė, Vinkers,  
187 Elzinga, Wardenaar, Giltay & Penninx, 2020) and  
188 can overwhelm the neurophysiological system for  
189 coping with stress. This produces altered levels of  
190 hormones and neurotransmitters and ultimately leads  
191 to changes in brain architecture and multiple organ  
192 systems (Bucci et al., 2016).

193 Toxic stress also results in a heightened baseline  
194 state of physiological arousal and increased sensi-  
195 tivity to internal and external triggers (Center on  
196 the Developing Child at Harvard University, 2022;  
SAMSHA, 2014).

### 3. The role of regulation

197  
198 Neurobiological research illuminates that cogni-  
199 tive approaches, whether to speech and language  
200 interventions or mental health interventions are less  
201 likely to be effective when the stress arousal system is  
202 dysregulated (Raio, et al., 2013). Exposure to trauma  
203 frequently disorganises low brain areas that regulate  
204 homeostatic life support functions (Gaskill, 2019).  
205 This is manifested in the body as an embodied dys-  
206 regulated experience outside of conscious awareness  
207 (Porges, 2011). Thus, it is accepted in the therapeutic  
208 community that the gold standard for trauma therapy  
209 is a phased approach, focusing on building embodied  
210 safety as a foundation (van der Kolk, 2014).

211 This principle carries through to TIC interventions  
212 by clinicians supporting increased embodied regula-  
213 tion prior to proceeding to work on cognitive tasks  
214 (Lotty, Bantry-White & Dunn-Galvin, 2021). Until  
215 these regions achieve stasis, the individual cannot  
216 access higher brain areas to support cognitive engage-  
217 ment. In this respect, SLTs need to support a client's  
218 embodied regulation, before expecting a client to be  
219 able to work on higher level cognitive skills.

220 The need to support regulation applies to clients,  
221 as well as the potential need to support a child's care-  
222 giver in the importance of co-regulation of their child.

223 Furthermore, trauma-informed practice requires  
224 the SLT to be attuned to their own state of regu-  
225 lation in sessions. The clinician is thus required  
226 to develop their own skills to promote regulation  
227 such as grounding and deep breathing. Grounding  
228 which involves noticing or slowing down breath-  
229 ing, tapping, or counting fingers as the breath goes  
230 in, can reduce autonomic nervous system activation  
231 (Yehuda, 2016). Deep breathing helps lower the neu-  
232 rological arousal that takes place during a stressful  
233 reaction. Others find prearranged hand movements  
234 (e.g., lowering palm into a gentle 'calm down'  
235 motion) helpful in calming them enough to listen and  
236 orientate to their surroundings (Yehuda, 2016).

237 The aforementioned impact of trauma and the neu-  
238 robiology of stress can place children at greater risk  
239 for adverse developmental, emotional, and academic  
240 outcomes (McCrory et al., 2012). Converging evi-  
241 dence suggests that trauma exposure, particularly  
242 in early life, alters emotional regulatory capacities,  
243 which is the ability to modify one's arousal and emo-  
244 tional state to promote adaptive behaviour (Gross &  
245 Thompson, 2007). Indeed, early life trauma exposure  
246 is a potent risk factor for neuropsychiatric disor-  
247 ders including anxiety, depression, and posttraumatic

stress disorder, that are also hallmarked by abnormalities in the processing and regulation of emotion (Marusak, Martin, Etkin & Thomason, 2015).

Children who have experienced adversity are more vulnerable to dysregulation of affect and behaviour, distortions in attributions, interpersonal difficulties and difficulties with executive functioning (D'Andrea, Ford, Stolbach; van der Kolk, 2012).

#### 4. Trauma-informed care and communication skills intervention

There is a growing body of evidence suggesting that children who experience maltreatment have significantly poorer speech and language abilities in terms of receptive, expressive, and pragmatic language skills when compared to children who had not experienced maltreatment (Rupert & Bartlett, 2022). This is reflected in a number of meta-analyses (Byrne, 2017; Hyter, 2021; Sylvester, Bussieres & Bouchard, 2016) and Speech-Language Pathology Australia's Clinical Guidelines to Speech Pathology in Mental Health Services, which emphasise infant and early childhood mental health and attachment as it relates to communication development (Speech Pathology Australia, 2018). Studies applying trauma-informed practice to Speech and Language Therapy are emerging (Haritopoulos, 2022), as well as those exploring SLTs attitudes and knowledge about trauma-informed practice (Roberson & Lund, 2022).

A trauma-informed SLT underpins their practice with the core TIC principles and brings a trauma-informed mind set and skillset to their practice (Lotty, 2021).

Acknowledging and understanding the underlying impact trauma history can have on a client's communication abilities and presentation, will mean including trauma as part of a thorough case history and will alter the way the SLT addresses their client's communication needs in intervention. The SLT, as a starting point, is concerned with supporting the client to experience a felt sense of safety in their presence and in the intervention environment. For example, by clearly explaining to clients what they can expect, by giving choices and control and by asking a child's caregiver what might make their child feel most comfortable. The trauma-informed clinician would also know the importance of trying to understand and anticipate the client's triggers as to avoid re-traumatization in the activities selected as

part of intervention (Yehuda, 2016). For example, a child may be sensitive to touch from adults due to a history of physical abuse yet would benefit from tactile prompts for speech production and would require more support in establishing a felt sense of safety prior to tactile motor speech therapy.

The trauma informed SLT understands the importance of collaborative practice with the key players in the child's life that all have a role in supporting the child's resilience and recovery. These often include caregivers, teachers, early years professionals, social workers, and other mental health professionals who through collaborative practice can support the child through a consistent and coordinated approach.

Through collaborative practice, the SLT has a role to play in supporting those providing trauma-specific treatment in helping them understand the child's communication abilities, receiving input from those who understand the details of the child's trauma history, and in being part of a coordinated service plan for clients who have trauma history. For example, it may be clinically beneficial that the child and family access trauma-specific services before undertaking communication intervention. This may be the case in situations where there is a major rupture within the child-caregiver relationship, or where caregivers are experiencing significant mental health challenges of their own.

Trauma-informed practice also involves the risk of vicarious trauma to the SLT. Vicarious trauma is considered a profound and lasting emotional and psychological consequence of repeated indirect exposure to the traumatic experiences of others (Padmanabhanunni & Gqomfa, 2022). Incidences which increase vulnerability to vicarious trauma in the work environment include excessive workload and unclear scope of work (Ravi, Gorelick & Pal, 2021). Trauma-informed SLTs and their supervisors must therefore understand the importance of self-care to reduce the risk of the onset of vicarious trauma that may potentially lead to compassion fatigue and burnout (Rupert & Bartlett, 2022).

SLTs also require the systems they work in to acknowledge their exposure to vicarious trauma and governing bodies regarding Speech and Language Therapy need to delineate relevant scope of work and practice guidelines to support SLTs in this regard.

Finally, while the training and governance of SLTs differs globally, trauma is a global phenomenon. Understanding the neurobiology and impact of trauma allows the practitioners to apply this learning to all clients they work with regardless of where

### TRAUMA-INFORMED PRACTICE: A PARALLEL PROCESS

Copyright © 2022 Dr Maria Lotty




Fig. 1. Trauma-informed practice: a parallel process.

they practice. Therefore, it would be highly beneficial for TIC to be included in all SLT training core curriculum, and for governing bodies to include trauma-related practice guidelines within their scope. This of course could then be tailored to the local context but the importance of understanding the impact of trauma is universal.

## 5. Conclusion

TIC is a relatively new framework for working with individuals who experience trauma. The evidence-base to support its effectiveness is still in the early stages of development. This is even more so the case in the application of TIC to practice within Speech and Language Therapy. Nevertheless, the relevance of TIC to work within Speech and Language Therapy beyond specialized treatment mental health settings cannot be understated.

Thus, we have highlighted some of the key foundational reasons for the relationship between trauma exposure and the field of Speech and Language Therapy, some of the key ways that elements of TIC can be implemented within Speech and Language Therapy, and hope to also shed light on the need for an ongoing commitment to continuous research to corroborate best practice of TIC specific to communication sciences. This will in turn support the further application of TIC to enhance the services provided to clients and supports provided to clinicians as well.

## Acknowledgments

The authors have no acknowledgements.

## Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

- Ainsworth, M. D. S. (1973). The development of infant-mother attachment. In B. Cardwell & H. Ricciuti (Eds). *Review of child development research* (Vol.3, pp. 1-94) Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Bartlett, J. D., & Steber, K. (2019). *How to implement Trauma-Informed Care to build resilience to child trauma*. Retrieved from: How to Implement Trauma-Informed Care to Build Resilience to Childhood Trauma – Child Trends.
- Bellis, M., Ashton, K., Hughes, K., Ford, K., Bishop, J., & Paranjoty, S. (2016). Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) in Wales and their impact on health in the adult population. *European Journal of Public Health*, *26*(suppl\_1). Retrieved from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckw167.009>
- Berliner, L., & Kolko, D. J. (2016). In Hanson R. F., Lang J.(Eds.), *Trauma informed care: A commentary and critique*. SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559516643785>
- Bloom, S. L., & Farragher, B. (2010). *Destroying sanctuary: The crisis in human service delivery systems*. Oxford University Press.
- Bowlby, J. (1969). Attachment and loss. *Attachment, Vol 1*, New York: Basic Books.
- Bucci, M., Marques, S. S, Oh, Debora, & Harris, N. B. (2016). Toxic stress in children and adolescents. *Advances in Paediatrics*, *63* (1), 403-428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yapd.2016.04.002>
- Bunting, L., Montgomery, L., Mooney, S., MacDonald, M., Coulter, S., Hayes, D., Davidson, G., & Forbes, T. (2019). *Developing trauma informed care in Northern Ireland: The child welfare system*. Retrieved from: Developing trauma informed care in Northern Ireland: The child welfare system — Queen's University Belfast (qub.ac.uk)
- Byrne, N. (2017). Systematic review of speech and language therapy outcomes for children who are in out of home care (OOHC). *Speech, Language and Hearing*, *20*(1), 57-61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2050571X.2016.1207399>
- Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University (2022). *Resilience*. Retrieved from: Resilience (harvard.edu)
- Cook, A., Blaustein, M., Spinazzola, J., & Van der Kolk, B. (2003). *Complex trauma in children and adolescents: White paper from the national child traumatic stress network complex trauma task force*. Los Angeles: National Centre for Child Traumatic Stress.
- D'Andrea, W., Ford, J., Stolbach, B., Spinazzola, J., & Van der Kolk, B. A. (2012). Understanding interpersonal trauma in children: why we need a developmentally appropriate trauma diagnosis. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, *82*(2), 187. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-0025.2012.01154.x>
- DeCandia, C., & Guarino, K. (2015). Trauma-Informed Care: An Ecological Response. *Journal of Child and Youth Care Work*, *25*, 7-32. <https://doi.org/10.5195/jcycw.2015.69>
- Doolan, E. L., & Bryant, R. A. (2021). Modifying insecure attachment style with cognitive bias modification. *Journal of*

- 434 *Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 73, 101664.  
435 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2021.101664>
- 436 Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Norendberg, D., Williamson, D. F.,  
437 Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V. . . & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relation-  
438 ship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of  
439 the leading causes of death in adults: *The Adverse Childhood*  
440 *Experiences 13 (ACE) Study. American Journal of Preventive*  
441 *Medicine*, 14(4), 245-258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8)  
442 [3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8)
- 443 Fonagy, P., Gergely, G., & Target, M. (2007). The parent-  
444 infant dyad and the construction of the subjective self.  
445 *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied*  
446 *Disciplines*, 48(3-4), 288-328. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01727.x)  
447 [7610.2007.01727.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01727.x)
- 448 Gaskill, R. (2019). *Neuroscience Helps Play Therapists Go Low*  
449 *So Children Can Aim High*. Retrieved from: Gaskill.pdf  
450 (ymaws.com)
- 451 Gross, J. J., & Thompson, R. A. (2007). Emotion Regulation: Con-  
452 ceptual Foundations. In J. J. Gross (Ed.), *Handbook of emotion*  
453 *regulation* (pp. 3–24). The Guilford Press.
- 454 Haritopoulos, K. (2022). *The Role of Speech-Language Patholo-*  
455 *gists in Trauma-Informed Practices: Feelings, Attitudes, and*  
456 *Reported Behaviours of Colorado School Speech-Language*  
457 *Pathologists*. Master's Thesis. University of Northern Col-  
458 orado.
- 459 Hollo, A., Wehby, J. H., & Oliver, R. M. (2014). Unidentified  
460 Language Deficits in Children with Emotional and Behavioral  
461 Disorders: A Meta-Analysis. *Exceptional Children*, 80(2),  
462 169-186. DOI:10.1177/001440291408000203
- 463 Hopper, E. K., Bassuk, E. L., & Oliver, J. (2010). Shelter from  
464 the storm: Trauma-Informed Care in homelessness service set-  
465 tings. *The Open Health Services and Policy Journal*, 3(2),  
466 80-100. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874924001003020080>
- 467 Hyter, Y. D. (2021). Childhood maltreatment consequences on  
468 social pragmatic communication: A systematic review of the  
469 literature. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups*,  
470 6, 262-287. <https://doi.org/10.1044/2021.PERSP-20-00222>
- 471 Irish Association of Speech and Language Therapists (2021).  
472 IASL response to: *Appraisal of evidence for the effectiveness*  
473 *of Talking Therapies: Position Paper on Collaborative Layered*  
474 *Care Delivery Model for Talking Therapies*. Retrieved from:  
475 response-to-interim-reports-on-the-moc-for-adults-accessing-  
476 talking-therapies-july2020-final.pdf (iaSpeech and Language  
477 Therapy.ie)
- 478 Kuzminskaitė, E., Vinkers, C. H., Elzinga, B. M., Wardenaar, K.,  
479 J., Giltay, E. J., & Penninx, B. (2020). Childhood trauma and  
480 dysregulation of multiple biological stress systems in adult-  
481 hood: Results from the Netherlands Study of Depression and  
482 Anxiety (NESDA). *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 121, 104835.  
483 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2020.104835>
- 484 Lotty, M. (2021). "Exploring Trauma-informed Foster  
485 Care as a Framework to Support Collaborative Social  
486 Worker - Foster Carer Relationships." *Irish Journal*  
487 *of Applied Social Studies*, 21(1), 34-50. Available at:  
488 <https://arrow.tudublin.ie/ijass/vol21/iss1/4>
- 489 Lotty, M., Dunn-Galvin, A., & Bantry-White, E. (2020). Effective-  
490 ness of a trauma-informed care psychoeducational program  
491 for foster carers—Evaluation of the Fostering Connections  
492 Program. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 102, 104390. DOI:  
493 10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104390
- 494 Lotty, M., Bantry-White, E., & Dunn-Galvin, A. (2021).  
495 Trauma-informed care psychoeducational group-based inter-  
ventions for foster carers and adoptive parents: A nar-  
rative review. *Adoption & Fostering*, 45(2), 191-214.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03085759211012492>
- Lucio, R., & Nelson, T. L. (2016). Effective practices  
in the treatment of trauma in children and adoles-  
cents: From guidelines to organizational practices. *Journal of Evidence-Informed Social Work*, 13(5), 469-478.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23761407.2016.1166839>
- Main, M., & Solomon, J. (1986). Discovery of an insecure-  
disorganized/disoriented attachment pattern. In T. B. Brazelton  
& M. W. Yogman (Eds.), *Affective development in infancy* (pp.  
95–124). Ablex Publishing.
- Marusak, H. A., Martin, K. R., Etkin, A., & Thomason,  
M. E. (2015; 2014). Childhood trauma exposure disrupts  
the automatic regulation of emotional processing. *Neu-*  
*ropsychopharmacology (New York, N.Y.)*, 40(5), 1250-1258.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2014.311>
- McCrory, E., De Brito, S. A., & Viding, E. (2012). The link between  
child abuse and psychopathology: A review of neurobiological  
and genetic research. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*,  
105(4), 151-156. <https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.2011.110222>
- McGlinn, S. (2020). Communication and infant mental health. In  
C. Jagoe & I. Walsh (Eds.), *Communication and Mental Health*  
*Disorders: Developing Theory, Growing Practice*. J&R Press.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Colich, N. L., Rodman, A. M., &  
Weissman, D. G. (2020). Mechanisms linking childhood  
trauma exposure and psychopathology: A transdiagnostic  
model of risk and resilience. *BMC Medicine*, 18(1), 96-96.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01561-6>
- Mersky, J. P., Topitzes, J., & Britz, L. (2019). Promot-  
ing evidence-based, trauma-informed social work prac-  
tice. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 55(4), 645-657.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10437797.2019.1627261>
- Narayan, A. J., Lieberman, A. F., & Masten, A. S. (2021). Inter-  
generational transmission and prevention of adverse childhood  
experiences (ACEs). *Clinical Psychology Review*, 85, 101997.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.101997>
- Padmanabhanunni, A., & Gqomfa, N. (2022). "The Ugliness of  
It Seeps into Me": Experiences of Vicarious Trauma among  
Female Psychologists Treating Survivors of Sexual Assault.  
*International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*  
*Health*, 19(7), 3925. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19073925>
- Porges, S. W. (2011). *The polyvagal theory: Neurophysiological*  
*foundations of emotions, attachment, communication, and*  
*self-regulation (Norton Series on Interpersonal Neurobiology)*.  
WW Norton & Company.
- Raio, C. M., Orederu, T. A., Palazzolo, L., Shurick,  
A. A., & Phelps, E. A. (2013). Cognitive emotion  
regulation fails the stress test. *Proceedings of the*  
*National Academy of Sciences*, 110(37), 15139-15144.  
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305706110>
- Ravi, A., Gorelick, J., & Pal, H. (2021). Identifying and addressing  
vicarious trauma. *American Family Physician*, 103(9), 570-  
572.
- Roberson, M. M., & Lund, E. (2022). School-Based  
Speech-Language Pathologists' Attitudes and Knowl-  
edge about Trauma-Informed Care. *Language, Speech,*  
*and Hearing Services in Schools*, 53(4), 1117-1128.  
<https://doi.org/10.1044/2022.LSHSS-21-00172>
- Rupert, A. C., & Bartlett, D. E. (2022). The childhood  
trauma and attachment gap in speech-language pathology:  
Practitioner & knowledge, practice, and needs. *American*

- 558 *Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 31(1), 287-302.  
559 [https://doi.org/10.1044/2021\\_AJSLP-21-00110](https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_AJSLP-21-00110)
- 560 Sylvestre, A., Bussières, È. L., & Bouchard, C. (2016). Lan-  
561 guage Problems among Abused and Neglected Children: A  
562 Meta-Analytic Review. *Child Maltreatment*, 21(1), 47-58.  
563 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559515616703>
- 564 Smith, M., Williamson, A. E., Walsh, D., & McCartney, G.  
565 (2016). Is there a link between childhood adversity, attachment  
566 style and Scotland's excess mortality? Evidence, challenges  
567 and potential research. *BMC Public Health*, 16(1), 655.  
568 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3201-z>
- 569 Speech Pathology Australia (2018). *Speech Pathology in Mental*  
570 *Health Services*. Retrieved from: Position Statements ([speech-pathologyaustralia.org.au](http://speech-pathologyaustralia.org.au))
- 571
- 572 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration  
573 (SAMHSA) (2014). *SAMHSA's concept of trauma and guid-*  
574 *ance for trauma-informed approach*. HHS Publication No.  
575 (SMA) 14-4884. Rockville, MD. Substance Abuse and Mental  
Health Services Administration.
- Tronick, E., Als, H., Adamson, L., Wise, S., & Brazelton, T. B. 576  
(1978). The infant's response to entrapment between con- 577  
tradictory messages in face-to-face interaction. *Journal of* 578  
*the American Academy of Child Psychiatry*, 17(1), 1-13. 579  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-7138\(09\)62273-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-7138(09)62273-1) 580
- Van der Kolk, B. A. (2014). *The body keeps the score: Brain, mind,* 581  
*and body in the healing of trauma*. New York, NY: Penguin. 582
- Whitehouse, A. J. O., Watt, H. J., Line, E. A., & Bishop, 583  
D. V. M. (2009). Adult psychosocial outcomes of chil- 584  
dren with specific language impairment, pragmatic lan- 585  
guage impairment and autism. *International Journal of* 586  
*Language & Communication Disorders*, 44(4), 511-528. 587  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13682820802708098> 588
- Yehuda, N. (2016). *Communicating Trauma: Clinical Presenta-* 589  
*tions and Interventions with Traumatized Children*. New York: 590  
Routledge Press 591

Uncorrected Author Proof